

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

M

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/647,046	08/21/2003	Steven Don Arnold	H0004511	1546
75	590 02/04/2005		EXAM	INER
Ephraim Starr			TRIEU, THAI BA	
Division Gener	al Counsel			
Honeywell International Inc.			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
23326 Hawthorne Boulevard, Suite #200			3748	
Torrance, CA 90505			DATE MAILED: 02/04/2005	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
Advisory Action	10/647,046	ARNOLD, STEVEN DON					
Auvisory Action	Examiner	Art Unit	_				
	Thai-Ba Trieu	3748					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address							
THE REPLY FILED 14 January 2005 FAILS TO PLACE. Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to average in a rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	oid abandonment of this applica a timely filed amendment which (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely	ntion. A proper reply to a not places the application in					
	PLY [check either a) or b)]						
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire le ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f).  Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The see have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of the under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the control o	dvisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THe date on which the petition under 37 CFI f extension and the corresponding amothe shortened statutory period for reply the later than three months after the mail	g date of the final rejection. IE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP R 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension unt of the fee. The appropriate extension originally set in the final Office action; or	ı				
<ul> <li>imely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 C</li> <li>1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFF)</li> </ul>	Brief must be filed within the pe R 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal o						
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered be	ecause:						
(a) Methey raise new issues that would require further	er consideration and/or search (s	see NOTE below);					
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note b	•						
(c)  they are not deemed to place the application ir issues for appeal; and/or	n better form for appeal by mate	rially reducing or simplifying the					
(d)  they present additional claims without canceling	ng a corresponding number of fi	nally rejected claims.					
NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> .							
3. $\square$ Applicant's reply has overcome the following reject	ion(s):						
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	be allowable if submitted in a se	eparate, timely filed amendment					
5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request for application in condition for allowance because:		dered but does NOT place the					
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered becaraised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	ause it is not directed SOLELY t	o issues which were newly					
7. ☑ For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a) ☑ will not be entered or b) ☐ will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended.							
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:							
Claim(s) allowed:							
Claim(s) objected to:							
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1,3-9,11-17,19 and 20</u> .							
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:  8. The drawing correction filed on is a) appr	round or h) disapproved by t	ha Evaminar					
	•						
9. ☐ Note the attached Information Disclosure Statemer							
10. ☐ Other:		Claubabrull  O1/25/05  Thai-Ba Trieu  Primary Examiner  Art Unit: 3748					

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 11-03)

\$

**Continuation Sheet (PTOL-303)** 

Continuation of 2. NOTE: The amended claims 1, 13, and 16 raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search, and that would come up with a new ground of rejection, such as a diesel particulate filter being disposed before the EGR cooler.